Quantum detectors in generic non flat FLRW space-times

Yevgeniya Rabochaya [(a)(b)]*and Sergio Zerbini [(a)(b)]†

[(a)] Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trento,
Via Sommarive 14, 38123 Povo, Italia

[(b)] Centro INFN-TIFPA,
Via Sommarive 14, 38123 Povo, Italia

Abstract

A quantum field theoretical approach, in which a quantum probe is used to investigate the properties generic non-flat FLRW space-times is discussed. The probe is identified with a conformally coupled massless scalar field defined on a space-time with horizon and the procedure to investigate the local properties is realized by the use of Unruh-DeWitt detector and by the evaluation of the regularized quantum fluctuations. In the case of de Sitter space, the coordinate independence of our results is checked, and the Gibbons-Hawking temperature is recovered. A possible generalization to the electromagnetic probe is also briefly indicated.

PACS numbers: *04.70.-s, 04.70.Dy*

1 Introduction

Hawking discovery of black hole radiation [1] is considered one of the most important predictions of quantum field theory in curved space-time. The predicted effect is quite robust, see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

Parikh and Wilczek [9](see also [10, 11]) introduced a further approach, the so-called tunnelling method, for investigating Hawking radiation. Later, the Hamilton-Jacobi tunnelling method [12, 13, 14, 15] was introduced. This method is covariant and can be generalized to the dynamical case [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

The aim of this paper is to continue the investigation of the local properties of a generic FLRW space-time by making use of suitable quantum probe, along the line presented in reference [23]. We recall that FLRW space-times may be regarded spherically symmetric (dynamical) space-times, and a covariant formalism introduced by Hayward is at disposal [18, 19, 24]. This approach will permit the introduction of Kodama vector and related observers. For the sake of completeness, first we review this general formalism.

*rabochaya@science.unitn.it
†zerbini@science.unitn.it

To begin with, let us recall that any spherically symmetric metric can locally be expressed in the form

$$ds^2 = \gamma_{ij}(x^i)dx^i dx^j + R^2(x^i)d\Omega^2\,, \qquad i,j \in \{0,1\}\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where the two-dimensional metric

$$d\gamma^2 = \gamma_{ij}(x^i)dx^i dx^j \tag{1.2}$$

is referred to as the normal metric, $\{x^i\}$ are associated coordinates and $R(x^i)$ is the areal radius, considered as a scalar field in the two-dimensional normal space. Let us introduce the scalar quantity

$$\chi(x) = \gamma^{ij}(x)\partial_i R(x)\partial_j R(x)\,. \tag{1.3}$$

The dynamical trapping horizon, if it exists, is located in correspondence of

$$\chi(x)\Big|_H = 0\,, \tag{1.4}$$

provided that $\partial_i \chi|_H \neq 0$. Hayward surface gravity associated with this dynamical horizon reads

$$\kappa_H = \frac{1}{2}\Box_\gamma R\Big|_H\,. \tag{1.5}$$

In any spherical symmetric space-time there exists the Kodama vector field $\mathcal{K}$, defined by

$$\mathcal{K}^i(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}}\,\varepsilon^{ij}\partial_j R\,, \qquad \mathcal{K}^\theta = 0 = \mathcal{K}^\varphi\,. \tag{1.6}$$

Kodama observers are characterized by the condition $R = R_0$.

Coming back to the Hamilton-Jacobi tunneling method, we recall the semi classical emission rate reads

$$\Gamma \propto |\text{Amplitude}|^2 \propto e^{-2\frac{\Im I}{\hbar}}\,. \tag{1.7}$$

with $\Im$ standing for the imaginary part, the appearance of the imaginary part due to the presence of the horizon. The leading term in the WKB approximation of the tunnelling probability reads

$$\Gamma \propto e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\kappa_H}\omega}\,, \tag{1.8}$$

in which an energy ω of the particle, and the Hayward surface gravity evaluated at horizon appear. Here we recall the operational interpretation [18]. We note that static observers in static BH space-times become in the dynamical case Kodama observers whose velocity

$$v^i_K = \frac{K^i}{\sqrt{\chi}}\,, \quad \gamma_{ij}v^i_K v^j_K = -1\,. \tag{1.9}$$

Kodama observers are such that $R = R_0$, namely they have constant areal radius, and the energy measured by these Kodama observers at fixed R_0 is

$$E = -v^i_K \partial_i I = -\frac{K^i\partial_i I}{\sqrt{\chi_0}} = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\chi_0}}\,. \tag{1.10}$$

As a result, the tunneling rate can be rewritten as

$$\Gamma \simeq e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\kappa_H}\sqrt{\chi_0}E} \simeq e^{-\frac{E}{T_0}}\,, \tag{1.11}$$

and the local quantity T_0 at radial radius R_0 is invariant, since it contains the invariant factor $\sqrt{\chi}$, and the Hayward surface gravity

$$T_0 = \frac{T_H}{\sqrt{\chi_0}}\,, \quad T_H = \frac{k_H}{2\pi}\,. \tag{1.12}$$

In the static case $\chi = g^{rr} = -g_{00}$ and recalling Tolman's theorem: for a gravitational system at thermal equilibrium, $T\sqrt{-g_{00}} =$ constant, it follows that $T_H = \frac{\kappa_H}{2\pi}$ is the intrinsic temperature of the BH, namely the Hawking temperature.

As an important example that illustrates the gauge independence of the formalism is the de Sitter space-time. We shall consider three patches, or coordinate systems. The first is the static patch, namely

$$ds^2 = -dt_s^2(1 - H_0^2 r^2) + \frac{dr^2}{(1 - H_0^2 r^2)} + r^2 dS^2\,. \tag{1.13}$$

Here $R = r$, the horizon is located at $r_H = \frac{1}{H_0}$, and the surface gravity $\kappa_H = H_0$.

The second patch is the one which describes a exponentially expanding FLRW flat space-time,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2H_0 t}\left(dr^2 + r^2 dS^2\right)\,. \tag{1.14}$$

Here $R = e^{H_0 t} r$, the dynamical horizon is $R_H = \frac{1}{H_0}$, there is no Killing vector, but the Hayward surface gravity is again $\kappa_H = H_0$. Finally, there exists the so called global dS patch, a non-flat FLRW space-time

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \cosh^2(H_0 t)\left(\frac{dr^2}{(1 - H_0^2 r^2)} + r^2 dS^2\right)\,. \tag{1.15}$$

Here $R = \cosh(H_0 t) r$, and a straightforward calculation gives again $R_H = \frac{1}{H_0}$ and $\kappa_H = H_0$.

In the dynamical case, but for slow changes in the geometry, the question is: could possibly the quantity $T_H = \frac{\kappa_H}{2\pi}$ be interpreted as a dynamical Hawking temperature? In our opinion a complete answer is still missing, see also [22] for a recent discussion. With regard to this issue, it should be important to have a quantum field theoretical confirmation of the tunnelling results (see, for example [25]).

As a first step toward this aim, we recall that in the flat FLRW case, a conformally coupled massless scalar has been used as a quantum probe in order to investigate such space-times (see [26, 23, 27] and references therein). The aim of this paper is to extend the investigation to the non flat FLRW space-times, since, at least in the case of De Sitter space-time, there exists the important example (1.15) of non flat FLRW space-time, namely the global de Sitter patch.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a brief survey of a scalar quantization in a generic FLRW space-time. In Section 3, we present the main formula of Unruh-DeWitt response function detector. In Section 4, the computation of the renormalized quantum fluctuation is presented and the de Sitter case is discussed in detail. In Section 5, the conclusions are reported, and in the Appendix A, an elementary derivation of the Wightman function is given.

2 Conformal quantum probe in FLRW space-times

In the following, we review the quantization of a conformally coupled massless scalar field in a generic FLRW space-time. First, it is convenient to introduce the conformal time η by means $d\eta = \frac{dt}{a}$. Thus, we have

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)(-d\eta^2 + d\Sigma_3^2)\,,\ , \tag{2.1}$$

where the metric of the spatial section may be written

$$d\Sigma_3^2 = \frac{dr^2}{1 - kh_0^2 r^2} + r^2 dS^2\,, \tag{2.2}$$

with $k = 0, \pm 1$, and h_0 is a mass or inverse lenght scale, related to the Scalar Ricci curvature, which reads

$$\mathcal{R} = 6\left(\frac{a''}{a^3} + k\frac{h_0^2}{a^2}\right)\,, \quad a' = \frac{da}{d\eta}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

A useful and equivalent form for the spatial section is

$$d\Sigma_3^2 = d\xi^2 + h_k^2(\xi) dS^2\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where $H_0\xi = \sin^{-1} h_0 r$ and

$$h(\xi)_1 = \frac{\sin h_0\xi}{h_0}\,, \quad h(\xi)_0 = \xi\,, \quad h(\xi)_{-1} = \frac{\sinh h_0\xi}{h_0}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

In the case of a free massless scalar field which is conformally coupled to gravity, the related Wightman function $W(x,x') =< \phi(x)\phi(x') >$ can be computed in an exact way. For the sake of completeness, we re-derive this well known result.

The quantum field ϕ has the usual expansion

$$\phi(x) = \sum_\alpha f_\alpha(x) a_\alpha + f_\alpha^*(x) a_\alpha^+ \tag{2.6}$$

where the modes functions $f_\alpha(x)$ satisfy the conformally invariant equation ($\mathcal{R}$ being the Ricci curvature)

$$\left(\Box - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{6}\right) f_\alpha(x) = 0\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Defining the vacuum by $a_\alpha|0 >= 0$, the Wightman function turns to be

$$W(x,x') = \sum_\alpha f_\alpha(x) f_\alpha^*(x')\,, \tag{2.8}$$

and it satisfies, with x' fixed,

$$\left(\Box - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{6}\right) W(x,x') = 0\,. \tag{2.9}$$

An elementary derivation is presented in Appendix A. Here we give another derivation based on conformal invariance.

First we recall that if we make a conformal transformation

$$ds^2 = \Omega(x)^2 ds_0^2\,, \quad \phi = \frac{1}{\Omega}\phi_0\,, \tag{2.10}$$

the related Wightman $W(x.x') =< \phi(x)\phi(x') >$ transforms as

$$W(x,x') = \frac{1}{\Omega(x)\Omega(x')} W_0(x.x')\,. \tag{2.11}$$

We are interested in the non flat case. It is sufficient to consider only the $k=1$ case. The $k=-1$ may be obtained by the substitution $h_0 \to ih_0$.

Recall that the metric on a non flat FLRW positive spatial curvature space-time is

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)\left(-d\eta^2 + d\xi^2 + \frac{1}{h_0^2}\sin^2 h_0\xi dS_2^2\right)\,. \tag{2.12}$$

As a result, the above metric is conformally related to a static Einstein space $R \times S_3$, with metric

$$ds_E^2 = -d\eta^2 + d\xi^2 + \frac{1}{h_0^2}\sin^2 h_0\xi dS_2^2\,, \tag{2.13}$$

On the other hand, it is well known that a static Einstein space-time is conformally related to Minkowski space-time, since

$$ds_E^2 = 4\cos^2(h_0\frac{\eta+\xi}{2})4\cos^2(h_0\frac{\eta-\xi}{2})\left(-dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 dS_2^2\right) \tag{2.14}$$

with the Minkowski coordinates given by

$$t \pm r = \frac{1}{h_0}\tan(h_0\frac{\eta\pm\xi}{2})\,. \tag{2.15}$$

Due to the homogeneity and isotropy of FLRW space-times, we may take $W(x,x') = W(x',x) = W(\eta-\eta', r-r')$, same radial separation. Thus, since the Minkowski Wightman function is known, making use of equations (2.11) and (2.14), the Einstein space Wightman function turns out to be

$$W_E(x,x') = \frac{h_0^2}{8\pi^2}\frac{1}{\cos(h_0(\eta-\eta')) - \cos(h_0(\xi-\xi'))}\,. \tag{2.16}$$

As a consequence, again making use of (2.11), the Wightman function related to FLRW spherical spatial section is given by

$$W(x,x') = \frac{h_0^2}{8\pi^2 a(\eta)a(\eta')}\frac{1}{\cos(h_0(\eta-\eta')) - \cos(h_0(\xi-\xi'))}\,. \tag{2.17}$$

Finally, the Wightman function related to FLRW hyperbolic spatial section can be obtained by the replacement $h_0 \to ih_0$, and reads

$$W(x,x') = -\frac{h_0^2}{8\pi^2 a(\eta)a(\eta')}\frac{1}{\cosh(h_0(\eta-\eta')) - \cosh(h_0(\xi-\xi'))}\,. \tag{2.18}$$

As a check, the Wightman function related to FLRW flat spatial section can be obtained taking the limit $h_0 \to 0$. Again for same radial separation

$$W(x,x') = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 a(\eta)a(\eta')}\frac{1}{-(\eta-\eta')^2 + (r-r')^2}\,. \tag{2.19}$$

These results are in agreement with the ones obtained in Appendix A.

3 The Unruh-DeWitt detector in non flat FLRW space-times

The Unruh-DeWitt detector approach is a well known and used technique for exploring quantum field theoretical aspects in curved space-time. For a recent review see [28]. Here, we review the basic formula following Ref. [29, 23].

The transition probability per unit proper time of the detector depends on the response function per unit proper time which, for radial trajectories, at finite time τ may be written as $\Delta\tau = \tau - \tau_0 > 0$

$$\dot{F}(E,\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\text{Re}\int_0^{\Delta\tau} ds e^{-iEs} W(\tau, \tau - s)\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where τ_0 is the detector proper time at which we turn on the detector, and E is the energy associated with the excited detector state (we are considering $E > 0$). The flat case has been already considered in several places (see, [23]), thus we shall consider the $k = 1$ FLRW case, namely

$$\dot{F}(E,\tau) = \frac{\text{Re}}{16\pi^2}\int_0^{\Delta\tau} \frac{ds}{a(\tau)a(\tau-s)} \frac{h_0^2 e^{-iEs}}{\cos h_0(\Delta\chi(s)) - \cos h_0(\Delta\eta(s) - i0)} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Delta\chi(s) = \chi(\tau) - \chi(\tau - s)$, and $\Delta\eta(s) = \eta(\tau) - \eta(\tau - s)$. The $i0$-prescription is necessary in order to deal with the second order pole at $s = 0$. However, we will show in the next Section that the leading singularity in the coincidence limit, namely for small s, is

$$W(\tau, \tau - s) \simeq -\frac{1}{4\pi^2 s^2} + O(s^0)\,. \tag{3.3}$$

As a result, one may try to avoid the awkward limit $\epsilon \to 0^+$ by omitting the ϵ-terms but subtracting the leading pole at $s = 0$ (see [29] for details), and introducing the quantity

$$\Sigma^2(\tau, s) \equiv a(\tau)a(\tau - s)\frac{2}{h_0^2}\left(\cos h_0(\Delta\chi(s)) - \cos h_0(\Delta\eta(s))\right)\,, \tag{3.4}$$

one can present the detector transition probability per unit time in the form

$$\dot{F}(E,\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int_0^{\infty} ds\, \cos(Es)\left(\frac{1}{\Sigma^2(\tau,s)} + \frac{1}{s^2}\right) + J_\tau(E)\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where the "tail" or finite time fluctuating term is given by

$$J_\tau(E) := -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int_{\Delta\tau}^{\infty} ds\, \frac{\cos(E\,s)}{\Sigma^2(\tau,s)}\,. \tag{3.6}$$

In the important stationary cases (examples are the static black hole, the FLRW de Sitter space), one has $\Sigma(\tau, s)^2 = \Sigma^2(s) = \Sigma^2(-s)$, and Eq. (3.5) simply becomes

$$\dot{F}(E,\tau) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds\, e^{-iEs}\left(\frac{1}{\Sigma^2(s)} + \frac{1}{s^2}\right) + J_\tau = \dot{F}(E) + J_\tau(E)\,. \tag{3.7}$$

The first term is independent on τ, and all the time dependence is contained only in the fluctuating tail.

3.1 The de Sitter space in FLRW patches

In order to check the coordinate independence (gauge-invariance) of our result, it is instructive to investigate the de Sitter space-time. With regard to the other two FLRW patches, they are the flat spatial section, physically relevant for inflation and the positive spatial curvature patch. The flat case has already been considered, see for example [23]. For the spatial curved patch, we shall make use of the formula derived in the previous subsection.

Recall that in a generic non- flat FLRW space-time, the Kodama observer is given by

$$R(t) = R_0 = \frac{a(t)}{h_0} \sin h_0 \chi , \tag{3.8}$$

with constant R_0. For a radial trajectory, the proper time in the non-flat FLRW is

$$d\tau^2 = a^2(\eta)(d\eta^2 - d\xi^2) . \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, on the Kodama trajectory

$$d\tau^2 = dt^2 \left(1 - \frac{R_0^2 H^2(t)}{a^2(t) - R_0^2 H_0^2} \right) . \tag{3.10}$$

In the case of de Sitter, we put $h_0 = H_0$ and the flat case is simple and one has an explicit expression for $\eta(\tau)$ [23]. In the non-flat case, also for de Sitter, it is not easy to get an explicit expression of the conformal time as a function of τ. For this reason we consider the $R_0 = 0$ case, comoving Kodama observer. Thus $d\tau = dt$. Furthermore, since $a(t) = \cosh H_0 t = \cosh H_0 \tau$, one has

$$\eta(\tau) = \frac{2}{H_0} \arctan e^{H_0 \tau} . \tag{3.11}$$

We have to compute

$$\Sigma^2(\tau, s) = \frac{2}{H_0^2} \cosh(H_0 \tau) \cosh H_0(\tau - s) \left(\cos(H_0 \Delta\eta) - 1 \right) . \tag{3.12}$$

Making use of well known trigonometric identities, a direct calculation leads to following results

$$H_0 \Delta\eta(\tau, s) = -2 \arctan \left(\frac{\sinh \frac{H_0 s}{2}}{\cosh H_0(\tau + \frac{s}{2})} \right) , \tag{3.13}$$

$$\sin^2 H_0 \Delta\eta(\tau, s) = \frac{\sinh^2 \frac{H_0 s}{2}}{\cosh^2 H_0(\tau + \frac{s}{2}) + \sinh^2 \frac{H_0 s}{2}} , \tag{3.14}$$

$$\Sigma^2(\tau, s) = \frac{2}{H_0^2} \cosh(H_0 \tau) \cosh H_0(\tau - s) \sin^2(H_0 \Delta\eta(\tau, s)) . \tag{3.15}$$

As a consequence, the invariant distance (3.12) can be re-written in the form

$$\Sigma^2(\tau, s) = -\frac{2}{H_0^2} \sinh^2 \left(\frac{H_0\, s}{2} \right) . \tag{3.16}$$

Since $\Sigma^2(\tau, s) = \Sigma^2(s) = \Sigma^2(-s)$, we may use (3.7) and obtain, for $E > 0$ and making use of Residue Theorem

$$\dot{F}(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{E}{e^{\frac{2\pi E}{H_0}} - 1}, \tag{3.17}$$

which shows that the Unruh-DeWitt detector in the non flat FLRW de Sitter space detects a quantum system in thermal equilibrium at a temperature $T_0 = \frac{H_0}{2\pi}$, Gibbons-Hawking result is recovered [30]. This is an important check of the approach, since it shows the coordinate independence of the result for the important case of de Sitter space.

The response function for unit proper time, in the stationary cases we have considered, gives information about the equilibrium temperature via the Planckian distribution. We also may argue as follow. In the stationary case, in the limit $\tau \to \infty$, one has

$$\dot{F}(E) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds\, e^{-iEs} \left(\frac{1}{\Sigma^2(s)} + \frac{1}{s^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{E}{\exp\left(\frac{E}{T_0}\right) - 1}. \tag{3.18}$$

Note also that in this case one has

$$\frac{\dot{F}(E)}{\dot{F}(-E)} = e^{-\frac{E}{T_0}}, \quad \dot{F}(-E) - \dot{F}(E) = \frac{E}{2\pi}. \tag{3.19}$$

Viceversa, if the above relations hold then $\dot{F}(E)$ is the Plank distribution. Thus we may define the local equilibrium temperature by means of

$$T_0 = \frac{E}{\ln \dot{F}(-E) - \ln \dot{F}(E)}. \tag{3.20}$$

or

$$T_0 = \frac{E}{\ln\left(1 + \frac{E}{2\pi(\dot{F})}\right)} \tag{3.21}$$

which shows in which sense a Unruh-DeWitt detector is a quantum thermometer.

3.2 d-dimensional generalization

In the de Sitter case, it is possible to generalize the computation of the response function per unit time to the massive non conformally coupled d-dimensional scalar field [31].

In this case one may directly obtain

$$\dot{F}_{d,\nu}(E) = \frac{H_0^{d-3} e^{\frac{\pi E}{H_0}}}{8\pi^{(d+1)/2}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} |\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{4} + \frac{\nu}{2} + i\frac{E}{2H_0})\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{4} - \frac{\nu}{2} + i\frac{E}{2H_0})|^2, \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\nu = \sqrt{\frac{(d-1)^2}{4} - \frac{m^2}{H_0^2} - \xi d(d-1)}, \tag{3.23}$$

with m^2 mass of the scalar field and ξ the coupling constant with the Ricci curvature, being the conformal coupling $\xi_c = \frac{d-2}{4(d-1)}$. As a consequence, one has

$$\dot{F}_{d,\nu}(-E) = e^{\frac{E}{T_0}} \dot{F}_{d,\nu}(E) \,. \tag{3.24}$$

Furthermore, the massless conformally coupled case in d dimension corresponds to $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, for $d = 4$ one recovers

$$\dot{F}_{4,\frac{1}{2}}(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{E}{e^{\frac{2\pi E}{H_0}} - 1} \,. \tag{3.25}$$

Furthermore, making use of the identity

$$\Gamma(z)|^2 |\Gamma(1-z)|^2 = \frac{2\pi^2 |z|^2}{\cosh(2\pi \mathrm{Im} z) - \cos(2\pi \mathrm{Re} z)} \,, \tag{3.26}$$

one also has for $d = 3$ and $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\dot{F}_{3,\frac{1}{2}}(E) = \frac{1}{16} \left(1 + \frac{E^2}{H_0^2} \right) \frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi E}{H_0}} + 1} \,, \tag{3.27}$$

in which the well known phenomenon of the inversion of the statistic for odd dimensional spaces is manifest.

The other interesting case is the minimally coupled massless scalar field, for which $\nu = \frac{d-1}{2}$. In particular for $d = 4$, one has

$$\dot{F}_{4,\frac{3}{2}}(E) = \frac{H_0^2}{8\pi^3} \left(1 + \frac{E^2}{H_0^2} \right) \frac{1}{E \left(e^{\frac{2\pi E}{H_0}} - 1 \right)} \,. \tag{3.28}$$

In this physical important case, it mimics the graviton, the well known infrared problem associated with it appears in the bad behavior for small E.

4 Quantum fluctuations

Another proposal to detect local temperature associated with stationary space-time admitting an event horizon has been put forward by Buchholz and collaborators [32] (see also [33, 34]). The idea may be substantiated by the following argument.

Let us start with a free massless quantum scalar field $\phi(x)$ in thermal equilibrium at temperature T in flat space-time. It is well known that finite temperature field theory effects of this kind may be investigated by considering the scalar field defined in the Euclidean manifold $S_1 \times R^3$, where one has introduced the imaginary time $\tau = -it$, compactified in the circle S_1, with period $\beta = \frac{1}{T}$ (see for example [35]).

Let us consider the local quantity $< \phi(x)^2 >$, the quantum fluctuation. Formally this is a divergent quantity, since one is dealing with product of a valued operator distribution in the same point x, and regularization and renormalization are necessary. A simple and powerful way to deal with a regularized quantity is to make use of zeta-function regularization (see for example [36, 37, 35], and references therein). Within zeta-function regularization, one has

$$< \phi(x)^2 >= \zeta(1|L_\beta)(x) \,, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\zeta(z|L_\beta)(x)$ is the analytic continuation of the local zeta-function associated with the operator L_β

$$L_\beta = -\partial_\tau^2 - \nabla^2\,, \tag{4.2}$$

defined on $S_1 \times R^3$. The local zeta-function is defined with $\mathrm{Re}\, z$ sufficiently large by means

$$\zeta(z|L_\beta)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}\int_0^\infty dt t^{z-1} K_t(x,x)\,, \tag{4.3}$$

where the heat-kernel on the diagonal is given by

$$K_t(x,x) =< x|e^{-tL_\beta}|x >= \frac{1}{\beta(4\pi t)^{3/2}}\sum_n e^{-\frac{4\pi^2}{\beta^2}n^2}\,. \tag{4.4}$$

In (4.1) the analytic continuation of the local $\zeta(z|L_\beta)(x)$ appears and it is assumed that this analytical continuation is regular at $z = 1$, which, as we shall see, is our case. If the analytic continuation has a simple pole in $z = 1$, the prescription has to be modified (see [38, 33]).

A standard computation, which makes use of the Jacobi-Poisson formula leads to

$$K_t(x,x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^2}\sum_n e^{-\frac{n^2\beta^2}{4t}}\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Let us plug this expression in (4.3). The term $n = 0$ leads to a formally divergent integral $\int_0^\infty dt(t^{z-3})$, but this is zero in the sense of Gelfand analytic continuation, and it can be neglected. Thus, a direct computation gives the analytic continuation of the local zeta-function

$$\zeta(z|L_\beta)(x) = \frac{\Gamma(2-z)}{8\pi^2\Gamma(z)}\left(\frac{\beta^2}{4}\right)^{z-2}\zeta_R(4-2z)\,, \tag{4.6}$$

where $\zeta_R(z)$ is the Riemann zeta-function. It is easy to see that the analytic continuation of the local zeta-function is regular at $z = 1$, and from (4.1), recalling that $\zeta_R(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$, one has

$$< \phi(x)^2 >= \frac{1}{12\beta^2} = \frac{T^2}{12}\,. \tag{4.7}$$

Thus, the zeta-function renormalized vacuum expectation value of the observable ϕ^2, the fluctuation, gives the temperature of the quantum field in thermal equilibrium, namely one is dealing with a quantum thermometer.

Motivated by this argument, let us consider again a conformal coupled scalar field in a FLRW non flat space-time. We have seen that the off-diagonal Wigthman function is

$$W(x,x') =< \phi(x)\phi(x') >= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\frac{1}{\Sigma^2(x,x')}\,, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\Sigma^2(\tau,\tau-s) = a(\tau)a(\tau-s)\frac{2}{H_0^2}\left(-\cos H_0(\Delta\xi(s)) + \cos H_0(\Delta\eta(s))\right)\,, \tag{4.9}$$

with $a(\tau)$ being the conformal factor. In the limit $s \to 0$, formally one has

$$< \phi(x)^2 >= W(\tau,\tau)\,, \tag{4.10}$$

but $W(\tau,\tau)$ is ill defined, and one has to regularize and then renormalize this object. In our case, we may make use of the simple point splitting regularization [3], namely we consider $W(\tau,\tau-s)$ and evaluate the limit $s\to 0$.

To implement this limit procedure, one has to make use of several identities. For radial time-like separation, the starting point is

$$a^2(\tau)\left(\dot\eta^2-\dot\chi^2\right)=1\,. \tag{4.11}$$

Taking first and second derivatives with respect the proper time, one has

$$\frac{\dot a}{a}+a^2\left(\ddot\eta\,\dot\eta-\ddot\xi\,\dot\xi\right)=0\,, \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$a^2(\dot\eta\dddot\eta-\dot\xi\dddot\xi=-a^2(\ddot\eta^2-\ddot\xi^2)-\frac{\ddot a}{a}+3(\frac{\dot a}{a})^2\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Making use of these identities, a long but straightforward calculation leads to

$$\Sigma^2=-s^2\left(1+\frac{B}{12}s^2+O(s^4)\right)\,. \tag{4.14}$$

where

$$B=H^2+A^2+2\dot H\dot t+\frac{h_0^2}{a^2}\left(1-2\dot t^2\right)\,. \tag{4.15}$$

In this expression A^2 is the square of the 4-acceleration along the time-like trajectory, given by

$$A^2=\frac{1}{\dot t^2-1}\left(\ddot t+H(\dot t^2-1)\right)\,. \tag{4.16}$$

In the above expression, the last term is the new one with respect the flat case. Thus, the point splitting gives

$$W(\tau,\tau-s)=-\frac{1}{4\pi s^2}+\frac{B}{48\pi^2}+O(s^2)\,. \tag{4.17}$$

With regard to the renormalization, we simply subtract the first divergent term for $s\to 0$. The physical meaning of this subtraction has been discussed in detail in reference [23], and it amounts to subtract the contribution related to an inertial trajectory in Minkowski space-time. Thus, the renormalized quantum fluctuation reads

$$<\phi^2>_R=\frac{1}{48\pi^2}\left(H^2+A^2+2\dot H\dot t+\frac{h_0^2}{a^2}\left(1-2\dot t^2\right)\right)\,. \tag{4.18}$$

This result is the generalization of the one obtained in a flat FLRW space-time in [23] and within Unruh-de Witt detector in [26].

As a first important check, let us consider again the de Sitter space-time in the global patch. In this stationary case, the fluctuation acts as a quantum thermometer. Recall that in this case, one has $h_0=H_0$,

$$a(t)=\cosh H_0t\,,\quad H(t)=H_0\frac{\sin hH_0t}{\cosh H_0t}\,,\quad \dot H=\dot t\frac{H_0^2}{(\cosh H_0t)^2}\,. \tag{4.19}$$

As a consequence

$$< \phi^2 >_R = \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \left(H_0^2 + A^2 \right) . \tag{4.20}$$

This is in agreement with the result obtained for the de Sitter space-time in reference [39]. In fact, the acceleration can be computed, since, for a Kodama observer $R = R_0$, one has

$$\dot{t}^2 - 1 = \frac{R_0^2 H^2(t)}{1 - R_0^2 H_0^2} . \tag{4.21}$$

Thus

$$\ddot{t} = \frac{R_0^2}{1 - R_0^2 H_0^2} H(t) \frac{dH}{dt} . \tag{4.22}$$

Taking equation (4.16) into account, one gets

$$A^2 = \frac{R_0^2 H_0^4}{1 - R_0^2 H_0^2} , \tag{4.23}$$

which coincides with the Kodama de Sitter acceleration evaluated in the flat patch [23]. Furthermore we also have

$$< \phi^2 >_R = \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \frac{H_0^2}{1 - R_0^2 H_0^2} . \tag{4.24}$$

For a comoving Kodama observer $R_0 = 0$, and one gets again Gibbons-Hawking temperature associated with de Sitter space-time.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, with the aim to better understand the temperature-versus-surface gravity paradigm, the asymptotic results obtained by tunnelling semi classical method have been tested with quantum field theory techniques like the Unruh-DeWitt detector and the evaluation of the quantum fluctuation associated with a massless conformally coupled scalar field. More precisely the results obtained in reference [23] have been extended to a generic non spatial flat FLRW space-time. The de Sitter space-time in the global non flat FLRW patch has been used as important example, and the Gibbons-hawking temperature for the de Sitter space has been re-derived with our general fluctuation formula as well as the Unruh-de Witt detector technique.

With regard to possible generalization, at least in the flat case, our approach may also be extended to the Maxwell field as soon as one makes use of the result obtained in reference [40]. In fact there, quantizing the Maxwell field in a flat FLRW space-time and in the so called W gauge, a conformal lifting of the Lorenz gauge in Minkowski space-time, the Maxwell Wightman function has been obtained in the form

$$W_{\mu\nu}(x, x') = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g_{\mu\nu}(x) a(x')}{a(x)} + \frac{g_{\mu\nu}(x') a(x)}{a(x')} \right) W(x, x') , \tag{5.1}$$

where $W(x, x')$ is the Whightman associated with massless conformally coupled scalar field in flat FLRW space-time. This strongly suggests that our conformally coupled scalar probe may

mimic quite well the quantum Maxwell field. Of course, working with the Maxwell field, the gauge invariant has to be implemented and the relevant quantity is the Wightman function associated to (for example) the magnetic field. This is a very interesting issue with important cosmological applications, see for example the recent discussion appeared in [41, 42], and we hope to consider this issue in a future work.

Acknowledgement

We thank G. Cognola, M. Rinaldi, L. Vanzo for several discussions.

6 Appendix A

In this Appendix an elementary derivation of Wightman function for a massless conformally coupled scalar field is presented. Due to the homogeneity and isotropy of FLRW space-times, we may take $W(x,x') = W(x',x) = W(\eta-\eta', r-r')$.

It is convenient to introduce the auxiliary quantity

$$Y(x,x') = a(\eta)a(\eta')W(x,x')\,, \tag{6.1}$$

and, from the equation of motion in FLRW space-time with the conformal time one has

$$-\frac{d^2Y}{d\eta^2} - kH_0^2 Y + \Delta_h Y = 0\,, \tag{6.2}$$

where Δ_h is the Laplace operator associated with the metric $d\Sigma_3^2$.

We may take $x' = 0$. Let us start with the flat case $k = 0$. One has

$$-\frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial\eta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial r^2} + 2\frac{\partial Y}{\partial r} = 0\,. \tag{6.3}$$

The solution is

$$Y = \frac{1}{-\eta^2 + r^2} \tag{6.4}$$

As a result, making use of the homogeneity and isotropy, and dealing with the distribution nature of W,

$$W(x,x') = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 a(\eta)a(\eta')}\,\frac{1}{(r-r')^2 - (\eta-\eta'-i\epsilon)^2}\,. \tag{6.5}$$

Here we leave understood the limit as $\epsilon \to 0^+$.

We may rewrite it in covariant form, according to Takagi [43] and Schlicht [44] We adapt Schlicht's proposal to our conformally flat case, namely

$$W(x,x') = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 a(\eta)a(\eta')}\,\frac{1}{[(x-x') - i\epsilon(\dot{x}+\dot{x}')]^2}\,. \tag{6.6}$$

where an over dot stands for derivative with respect to proper time (see also [23]).

Coming back to the non-flat case, it is sufficient to consider the positive curvature case $h_0 > 0$. The negative one may be obtained with the replacement $h_0 \to ih_0$.
For the sake of simplicity, here we may take $h_0 = 1$, then dimensional analysis will give the complete expression. Let us start with

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)\left(-d\eta^2 + d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi dS^2\right) . \tag{6.7}$$

The Ricci scalar reads

$$\mathcal{R} = 6\frac{a'' + A}{a^3}\,, \quad a' = \frac{da}{d\eta}\,. \tag{6.8}$$

and the equation for Y is

$$-\frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial\eta^2} - Y + \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial\chi^2} + 2\frac{\cos\chi}{\sin\chi}\frac{\partial Y}{\partial\chi} = 0\,. \tag{6.9}$$

The solution of this partial differential equation is

$$Y = \frac{1}{\cos\eta - \cos\chi}\,. \tag{6.10}$$

Making use of dimensional analysis one arrives at

$$W(x,x') = \frac{1}{8\pi^2 a(\eta)a(\eta')}\frac{h_0^2}{\cos h_0(\chi-\chi') - \cos H_0(\eta-\eta'-i\epsilon)}\,. \tag{6.11}$$

In the case of negative spatial curvature one has

$$W(x,x') = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2 a(\eta)a(\eta')}\frac{h_0^2}{\cosh h_0(\chi-\chi') - \cosh h_0(\eta-\eta'-i\epsilon)}\,. \tag{6.12}$$

References

[1] S. W. Hawking, Nature **248**, 30 (1974); Commun. Math. Phys.**43**, 199 (1975) [Erratum-ibid. **46**, 206 (1976)]

[2] B. S. DeWitt, Phys. Rept. **19** (1975) 295.

[3] N. D. Birrell & P C W Davies, Quantum fields in curved space (Cambridge University Press 1982). **43**, 199 (1975) [Erratum-ibid. **46**, 206 (1976)]

[4] R. M. Wald, Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime and Black Hole Thermodynamics (Chicago Lectures in Physics, Chicago University Press 1994).

[5] S. A. Fulling, Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-time (Cambridge University Press 1996).

[6] V. P. Frolov and I. D. Novikov, Black hole physics, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 2007.

[7] W. G. Unruh, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **366**, 2905 (2008).

[8] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati, M. Visser, Living Rev. Rel. **8**, 12 (2005). [gr-qc/0505065].

[9] M. K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5042 (2000).

[10] M. Visser, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D12**, 649 (2003); A. B. Nielsen and M. Visser, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 4637 (2006).

[11] L. Vanzo, Europhys. Lett. **95**, 20001 (2011) [arXiv:1104.1569 [gr-qc]].

[12] M. Angheben, M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, JHEP **0505**, 014 (2005); M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, J. Physics A: Math. Gen. **39**, 6601 (2006).

[13] K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. **D 60**, 24007 (1999).

[14] R. Kerner and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 104010 (2006)

[15] A. J. M. Medved and E. C. Vagenas, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20**, 2449 (2005); M. Arzano, A. J. M. Medved and E. C. Vagenas, JHEP **0509**, 037 (2005); R. Banerjee and B. R. Majhi, Phys. Lett. B **662**, 62 (2008).

[16] R. Di Criscienzo, M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo, S. Zerbini and G. Zoccatelli, Phys. Lett. **B657**, 107 (2007).

[17] R. Di Criscienzo and L. Vanzo, Europhys. Lett. **82**, 60001 (2008).

[18] S. A. Hayward, R. Di Criscienzo, L. Vanzo, M. Nadalini and S. Zerbini, Class. Quant. Grav. **26** , 062001 (2009).

[19] R. Di Criscienzo, S. A. Hayward, M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 015006 (2010).

[20] R. Di Criscienzo, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, JHEP **1005**, 092 (2010).

[21] L. Vanzo, G. Acquaviva, R. Di Criscienzo, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 183001 (2011). [arXiv:1106.4153 [gr-qc]].

[22] P. Binetruy and A. Helou, arXiv:1406.1658 [gr-qc]; A. Helou, arXiv:1502.04235 [gr-qc].

[23] G. Acquaviva, R. Di Criscienzo, M. Tolotti, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **51**, 1555 (2012) [arXiv:1111.6389 [gr-qc]].

[24] H. Kodama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **63**, 1217 (1980).

[25] V. Moretti, N. Pinamonti, "State independence for tunneling processes through black hole horizons and Hawking radiation", [arXiv:1011.2994 [gr-qc]].

[26] N. Obadia, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 083532 (2008)

[27] R. Casadio, S. Chiodini, A. Orlandi, G. Acquaviva, R. Di Criscienzo, L. Vanzo, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A26**, 2149-2158 (2011). [arXiv:1011.3336 [gr-qc]].

[28] L. C. B. Crispino, A. Higuchi and G. E. A. Matsas, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80** (2008) 787.

[29] J. Louko and A. Satz, Class. Quant. Grav. **23** (2006) 6321; J. Louko and A. Satz, Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 055012 (2008).

[30] G. W. Gibbons and S. W Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 2738 (1977).

[31] B. Garbrecht and T. Prokopec, Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 4993 (2004).

[32] D. Buchholz, J. Schlemmer, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, F25-F31 (2007); C. Solveen, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 235002 (2010). [arXiv:1005.3696 [gr-qc]].

[33] D. Binosi, S. Zerbini, J. Math. Phys. **40**, 5106-5116 (1999). [gr-qc/9901036].

[34] S. Emelyanov, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 4, 044039 (2014) [arXiv:1309.3905 [gr-qc]]; S. Emelyanov, "Local thermal observables in spatially open FRW spaces," arXiv:1406.3360 [gr-qc].

[35] A. A. Bytsenko, G. Cognola, L. Vanzo, S. Zerbini, Phys. Rept. **266**, 1-126 (1996). [arXiv:hep-th/9505061 [hep-th]].

[36] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **55**, 133 (1977).

[37] E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov, A. Romeo, A. A. Bytsenko, S. Zerbini, Singapore, Singapore: World Scientific (1994) 319 p.

[38] D. Iellici, V. Moretti, Phys. Lett. **B425**, 33-40 (1998). [gr-qc/9705077].

[39] H. Narnhofer, I. Peter and W. E. Thirring, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **10**, 1507 Int. (1996).

[40] E. Huguet and J. Renaud, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 12, 124018 (2013) [arXiv:1310.7333 [hep-th]].

[41] L. Campanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 061301 (2013)

[42] R. Durrer, G. Marozzi and M. Rinaldi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 229001 (2013) [arXiv:1305.3192 [astro-ph.CO]].

[43] S. Takagi, Prog. Theoretical Phys. Supp Grav. **88** (2004) 1.

[44] S. Schlicht, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 4647.